

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/089,880 | 08/06/2002 | Tsuyoshi Sakata | 4777-8 | 1111 |
| 29540 7590 01/19/2007 DAY PITNEY LLP 7 TIMES SQUARE NEW YORK, NY 10036-7311 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LUDWIG, PETER L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3621 | |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 31 DAYS | | 01/19/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summers | 10/089,880 | SAKATA ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Peter L. Ludwig | 3621 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | · | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13/11 | /2002. | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex. parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement | • | | | |
| Olaim(s) 1-42 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/089,880

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claims 1, 3-4, drawn to an expiration date monitoring system.

Group 2, claims 2, 5-16, drawn to an expiration date monitoring system.

Group 3, claims 17, 21-30, drawn to an expiration date monitoring system.

Group 4, claim 18, drawn to an expiration date monitoring system.

Group 5, claim 19, drawn to an expiration date monitoring system.

Group 6, claim 20, drawn to an expiration date monitoring system.

Group 7, claim 31, drawn to an expiration date monitoring system.

Group 8, claims 32, 34-36, drawn to a terminal, which accesses a server and is controlled by the server.

Group 9, claims 33, 37, drawn to a server.

Group 10, claims 38, 40-42, drawn to a terminal for accessing a server.

Group 11, claim 39, drawn to a server comprising a log information transmission.

2. The inventions listed as groups 1-11 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: Claim 2 lacks novelty because Jan, Japanese Publication, 2000-105621 teaches a CMOS 11 which holds the time (LifeTime) for which the

Art Unit: 3621

computer is powered on and operates is provided and an initial value is set. After the power switch 13 is pressed, a BIOS 12 reads the LifeTime and decreases the LifeTime at specific intervals. When an interruption is initiated periodically, the LifeTime is read in from the CMOS 11 and counted down and when it becomes less than 0, an alarm display showing that the LifeTime is updated is made. When an alarm is generated, the user needs to return the LifeTime to the initial value. The BIOS 12 display the alarm indicating that the TimeLfe should be updated when the LifeTime is not initiated and does not exist and automatically turns off several minutes later to disable the power switch to function thereafter (Abstract). Accordingly, because claim 1 lacks novelty, no unity of invention exists between these eleven groups of claims, as they do not share a special technical feature that is new to the art.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an 3. election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,880 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of atleast one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter L. Ludwig whose telephone number is 571-270-1365. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30-5:00, 1st Fri. Off, 2nd Fri.7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Nolan can be reached on 571-272-0847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MATTHEW S. GART PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600